UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|--|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/737,202 | 12/16/2003 | Henning Gerder | 71163 | 5742 |
| | 872 7590 07/11/2007 ICGLEW & TUTTLE, PC | | EXAMINER | |
| P.O. BOX 9227 | | | DOUGLAS, STEVEN O | |
| SCARBOROUGH STATION SCARBOROUGH, NY 10510-9227 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | , | | 3771 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 07/11/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.



| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| | 10/737,202 | GERDER ET AL. | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | /Steven O. Douglas/ | 3771 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | • | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 M | <u>fay 2007</u> . | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | wn from consideration. | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | epted or b) objected to by the Education of the Education of the Idea of the I | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). sected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | (PTO-413) | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | ate | | | |
| U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Office Ac | ction Summary Pa | rt of Paper No./Mail Date 20070628 | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/737,202

Art Unit: 3771

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bahr (US 2001/0017134) in view of Applicant's Admission of Prior art (see page 7, line 9 through page 11, line 1 of Applicant's remarks filed 11/21/06, see also MPEP 2129 I for information concerning the use of an Applicant's admission as prior art).

The Bahr reference discloses a respiratory device comprising a breathing gas tube 2 including a sensor means 28, a signal line 14 (see paragraph 0019) and a contact-type interface at the distal and proximal ends (6,8) between the signal line 14 and the sensor means 28, but does not disclose the interface as being a contactless-type (i.e. infrared or inductive in make-up). Applicant's Admission of prior art states that contactless interfaces (i.e. infrared or inductive) are well known in the electrical engineering arts (see page 7, line 9 through page 11, line 1 of Applicant's remarks filed 11/21/06). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute a contactless-type interface as admitted by Applicant for the contact-type interface of Bahr, wherein so doing would amount to the mere substitution of one type of signal interface for another that would work or function equally as well in the Bahr device.

Application/Control Number: 10/737,202

Art Unit: 3771

In regard to claims 11-17, the method as claimed would be inherent during normal use and operation of the combined device.

Page 3

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-21 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to /Steven O. Douglas/ whose telephone number is (571) 272-4885. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 6:30-5:00.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/737,202 Page 4

Art Unit: 3771

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Steven O. Douglas/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3771

SD 6-28-07